

13



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/303,062	04/30/1999	ANURAG MENDHEKAR	OLAI-1005-MC	7841

23910 7590 07/08/2003

FLIESLER DUBB MEYER & LOVEJOY, LLP
FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER
SUITE 400
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

EXAMINER

JAROENCHONWANIT, BUNJOB

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2143

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003

15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/303,062

Applicant(s)

MENDHEKAR ET AL.

Examiner

Bunjoo Jaroenchonwanit

Art Unit

2141

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 June 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 May 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/16/2003 has been entered. Claim 1'-4 are pending for examination.

2. The rejection and objection cited are as stated below.

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brown et al. (US. 5,887,133).

5. In light of specification the term "image" is not defined, thus "image" can be interpreted as any visual graphic that is presented on a computing devices' display, including, web page or web document. The term "class of device" is not defined, thus, any type of devices other than original devices.

6. Claims 1 and 3, Brown discloses the invention substantially, as claimed, including *a method and apparatus for selectively display a predetermined set of contents for a specific class of device*, e.g., system and method for modifying documents over a network communication comprising:

an image generator configured to generate an image of a predetermined rendition of the set of content (content provider present several types of content including map, web page

Art Unit: 2141

pictorial, documents, Col. 5, lines 42-49; the content is presented to client based on user preference, Col. 4, lines 14-17);

a section identifier configured to identify a section in the contents on a preference of user (proxy server identified portion of document based on users' desirable, Col. 3, line 65-Col.4, line 8);

a section manipulator configured to re-format the identified section to generate a new rendition including the identified section (controller, which can be part of user or server comprises swap mechanisms for substituting an undesired portion of document with a desired portion, Col.4, lines 8-26) re swap server, wherein the new rendition is formatted based upon a characteristic of the specific class of the devices and the preference of the user (the new document is rendered in appropriate size based on user preference and suitable to type of device , i.e., characteristic of class of device, Col. 4, lines 13-17, lines 42-49); and

such that the new rendition can be displayed on the specific class of devices (the document portion is presented to either computer screen or TV screen, Col., 3, lines 63-65).

7. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al. (US. 5,887,133).

Brown discloses the invention substantially, as claimed, as described, in claim 1 and 3, but fails to teach selecting user from the group of end-users viewing the new rendition and the provider of the set of contents.

However, selecting a user from a particular group for receiving a particular content is a matter of design choice, which is defined by user preference. Since, Brown also teaches a

Art Unit: 2141

desired portion is rendered to the users based on their preferences and re-sizing to portion of document to fit with their devices. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include selecting user from a group of users who preferred the same content in order to speed up content manipulation, which would improve efficiency of rendering content to the user.

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

9. Examiner noted that claims languages are written, such that mete and bound of the claims read on several, web content providing. The claims should be more specific; concept of re-formatting and class of devices should be more specific.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit whose telephone number is (703) 305-9673. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-17:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on (703) 308-5221. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

/bj
June 30, 2003



B. JAROENCHONWANIT
PRIMARY EXAMINER